

REVIEW

Biocommunication of Fungal Organisms

Günther Witzany

telos-Philosophische Praxis,
Vogelsangstraße 18c,
5111-Buermoos,
Austria.
Email: witzany@sbg.at

ABSTRACT

The development and growth of fungal organisms depend on successful communication processes (a) within the organism and between organisms, (b) with the same or related species and (c) with non-related organisms. In order to generate an appropriate response behaviour, fungal organisms must also be able to (d) correctly interpret meaningful information from the abiotic environment. However, these communication and interpretation processes can also fail. In such cases the overall results can induce disease-causing and even lethal consequences for the organism.

This review will not enrich the knowledge of specialists in fungal research, but will demonstrate to a broader readership the different levels of fungal communication and how versatile fungal communicative competences really are. Interestingly, certain rules of fungal communication are very similar to those of animals, while others resemble those of plants. The correspondence between all three eukaryotic kingdoms has two aspects: (1) the context determines the meaning of trans-, inter- and intra-organismic (inter- and intracellular) communication, while (2) differences in abiotic and biotic signal perception determine the content arrangement of response behaviour.

KEYWORDS: Fungal semiochemicals, sign-mediated interactions, symbiotic signalling

1. INTRODUCTION

Some people enjoy forest mushrooms, i.e. fruiting bodies of fungi, via manifold variations of delicious dishes. However, when fruit, vegetables or bread are coated with spore-building surfaces we know that they are no longer edible, as some of the poisons produced by these fungi are known carcinogens. The presence of fungi is even less appreciated when we are confronted by fungal skin infections – they are unpleasant and require laborious medical procedures. Fungal diseases in the oral cavity, throat or even in internal organs are not just unpleasant, they are dangerous and can even be life threatening, although some of them are closely connected with hominid evolution (Lott et al. 2006). In agriculture it is known that fungi are a common cause for recurring disease events on cultivated plants. Animal farms and maricultural activities also have to deal with fungal diseases.

Although fungi are not really striking organisms, it is estimated that there are at least 1.5 million species, out of which about 300 000 are described in the scientific literature. It is estimated that fungi account for at least 1/4 of the global biomass.

Although it is known that fungal spores spread via the air, we hardly recognise their omnipresence, mostly because single spores cannot be seen by the naked eye. Being suspended in the air, they just wait to settle on a suitable substrate, especially when temperature and moisture offer ideal conditions for activating the spores, germinating, initiating hyphal growth and developing mycelia.

On an evolutionary time scale, the kingdom of fungi emerged approx. 300 million years after the appearance of the first animal species, although they descended from a common ancestor. On top of that, they share common traits with eukaryotic organisms. In contrast to animal- and higher plant-life, monocellular representatives are fairly common among fungi, i.e., fungi are by no means mere multicellular organisms. This fact can be easily and coherently reconstructed through the lineages of Protoctista – in that coordinated behavioural patterns are found among single-celled eukaryotes, which closely resemble those of single-celled fungi. However, there are unmistakable and significant differences in protoctist structure (i.e. flagellated) and those of fungi (i.e. non-flagellated). Obviously, fungi have evolved out of protoctists, such as red and joch-algae (Margulis and Schwartz 1988).

Fairly early associates of the fungal kingdom are those organisms that interact symbiotically with fungi, as is the case with lichens. All higher fungal life-forms originated from these symbiotic ways of life, which later became independent by detaching themselves from this close and vital dependence. Lichens are symbiotic partnerships. As pioneering organisms, they may settle on bare rock. Being essential bioeroders, they extract nutrients from mineral matter, thereby initiating the process of soil formation and paving the way for successive organisms that include root-forming plants.

As with plants, fungi are sessile organisms that can live for extremely long periods or extend over large areas: one example has been found which covers as much as 15 hectares with an age of approx. 1500 years. Endolithic fungi from the Antarctic are known to be among the most long-lived organisms on this planet (Villarreal 2005).

Higher fungi are modular hyphal organisms in that they reproduce by clonation or are parasexual. They establish interlocking networks. Like red algae they merge their cytoplasm to form a multi-nucleated cell. This holistically-merged body is also found among some plants. A spore germinates under appropriate environmental conditions and is followed by the formation of filaments called hyphae. The latter are characterised by nuclear division and spore formation, which develop into monokaryotic filaments (tip-growing). As in other tip-growing organisms, this process requires a high density of tip-localised mitochondria (Levina and Lew 2006).

The embryological stage, a characteristic of higher plants and animals, is completely absent in fungi (Margulis and Schwartz 1988). Hyphae formation is also found among certain bacteria, such as *Streptomyces* and *Actinomyces*. When hyphae are tightly packed together into a mat this is called a mycelium. Each filament of hyphae has tubular side walls made mostly of chitin, a feature that is also common in Arthropoda. The cell walls that seem to separate adjacent cells in a filament are called septa – however, their porous nature does not really give them separating properties. The merger of filamental tips of the same or different species triggers a self/non-self identification process. This process is sign-mediated and results either in repulsion or attraction. If the latter occurs, merger into a dikaryotic mycelium takes place and initiates the formation of a fruiting body.

Most fungi are saprobes and decompose and feed on non-living organic matter. They secrete powerful enzymes that enable the cells to digest organic matter from the nearby environment outside their body, in turn breaking this down to smaller molecules that can be absorbed and reincorporated in a dissolved form. To deter potential predators, a number of complex and highly efficient deterring substances are produced by fungi (Margulis and Schwartz 1988).

Fungi are known to utilise a broad variety of symbiotic interactions with animals, plants and eukaryotic protozoa for both mutual benefit as well as parasitic and even lethal associations. They also settle on specific types of tissue. Fungal diseases are known to affect both plant and animal life, in some cases inducing devastating effects on and also in organisms (Humber 2008), e.g. in agriculture. On the other hand, fungal activity has been actively exploited by humans for thousands of years in beer, wine, cheese and bread production. Since fungi are very simple organisms, sequencing and technical manipulation are relatively easy, making them ideal organisms for laboratory experiments (Weld et al. 2006), e.g. *Neurospora grassa* (Dunlap et al. 2004, FGSC 2008). Their application in the medical field as producers of various antibiotics is comprehensive and well-appreciated (e.g. penicillin).

Coordination and organisation processes occur in all organismic kingdoms, and in fungi these are seen during the formation of fruiting bodies (intra-organismic), between species of the same kind (inter-organismic) and between non-fungal organisms (trans-organismic). These involve rule-governed sign-mediated interactions, the signalling processes being nothing other than distinct biosemiotic communication processes (Witzany 2006). The semio-chemicals used are of biotic origin, in contrast to chemical indicators that trigger the fungal organism to react in a specific manner.

2) SEMIOCHEMICAL VOCABULARY

So far five different primary signalling molecules have been shown to be involved in very different behavioural patterns such as filamentation, mating, growth, and pathogenicity (Adachi and Hamer 1998, Wang and Heitman 1999, Hemenway and Heitman 1999, Alspaugh et al. 2000, Borges-Walmsley and Walmsley 2000). Behavioural coordination and the production of such substances can only be achieved through interpretation and response behaviour. Furthermore, there are numerous, less-investigated subunits that play an accompanying role as they are weaker in effect (Lengeler et al. 2000). Globally, these semio-chemicals serve to coordinate similar goals in different fungal species, yet species-variation among them cannot be ignored.

The roles of these signalling molecules are as follows: (i) Mitogen activated protein kinase signalling (MAPK) is involved in cell integrity, cell wall construction, pheromones/mating and osmo-regulation, (ii) the cyclic adenosine monophosphate cAMP/PKA system is involved in fungal development and virulence, (iii) the RAS protein is involved in cross-talk between signalling cascades, (iv) calcium-calmodulin-calcineurin are involved in cell survival under oxidative stress, high temperature, membrane/cell wall perturbation and (v) rapamycin is involved in the control of cell growth and proliferation (Fernandes et al. 2005).

To date, 400 different secondary metabolites have been documented. These are known to contain mycotoxins and are used both for defensive and aggressive behaviours.

3) INTERPRETATION OF ABIOTIC INDICES

Fungi react sensibly to varying nutrient availability and nutrient fluxes, and do so by responding holistically (intra-organismic communication). For example, in the case of carbon or nitrogen insufficiencies, the internal communication system of the organism responds adequately and is phenotypically expressed by a change in hyphal growth. To date, two specific signalling pathways have been found that coordinate such behaviour. These diverging pathways have also been documented among other fungi, including those that are pathogenic to plants and animals (Lengeler et al. 2000).

An abundance of nutrients for example, results in increased production of cAMP, which suppresses that of Ste11, itself a mating inhibitor. Such processes are sign-mediated processes that originate from cyclase-associated proteins (CAP) (Lengeler et al. 2000).

As with animals and plants, seasonality is found in fungi as a part of the circadian system (Dunlap 2004), e.g. light-regulated physiological processes that coordinate the internal fungal clock (Bell-Pederson et al. 1996). *Neurospora crassa*, for example, responds according to irradiance patterns arising from the diurnal cycle. In such cases we can speak of a *Neurospora* chronotype (Dunlap et al. 2004, Tan et al. 2004). However, this responsiveness is not connected to photosynthetic activity, as this is only found in green algae, i.e. in the symbiotic association of lichens.

Almost all fungi are saprobes and feed on dead organic matter. The excretion of extracellular digestive enzymes fragments larger biomolecules and makes them soluble, and more readily accessible for the fungal organism. This is particularly important for the digestion of cellulose, which is broken down by the enzymatic activity of exocellulase and endocellulase, and lignin (lignin peroxidase and manganese peroxidase). Enzymatic breakdown of organic matter yields simple sugars, amino acids, fatty acids and other smaller molecular components (Margulis and Schwartz 1988).

4) TRANS-ORGANISMIC COMMUNICATION BY FUNGI

A typical example of this kind is the mutually beneficial symbiosis between bark beetles and quite a few different fungi (Sullivan and Berisford 2004). The fungal spores benefit from the locomotion provided by the beetles in several ways e.g. access to new hosts, while the beetle benefits from the availability of fungal nutrients and pheromones. Some fungi provide nitrogen, amino acids and sterols that are crucial for the development of beetle larvae – however, this takes effect only once the adult beetle has colonised a host. Many bark beetles have even evolved transportation pockets for fungal hyphae, a fact that points to their common evolutionary history (Kopper et al. 2004).

Another trans-organismic symbiotic signalling process happens between fungi and ants, and is derived from a co-evolutionary relationship that lasted over millions of years (Poulsen and Boomsma 2005). Interestingly some lignin-degrading fungi also produce semiochemicals that have effects on the feeding and foraging behaviour of a Formosan subterranean termite (Cornelius et al. 2004).

There is much evidence to suggest that the fungal and animal kingdom share common ancestors, such as prototists, which have a true nucleus like choano-flagellates (Villarreal 2005). In this sense, fungi and animals are more related to each other than is the case with the plant kingdom. This is further strengthened by the sign-mediated processes, which regulate cellular functions. Yet another indicator of their common ancestry is found in a particular signalling pathway, termed the mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade (MAPK). This plays a crucial role in cell wall stabilisation of fungi and pheromone/mating interactions among mammalian cells. On top of that, MAPK is highly conserved (Lengeler et al. 2000).

Then there are fungi which parasitise plants. For example, they may colonise host tissues with an intercellular mycelium that forms haustoria, i.e. fungal mats within plant cells (Jakupovi et al. 2006) that penetrate the cell to utilise the nutrients of the plant. Studies on hazardous fungal infections on plants have revealed the crucial role of enzymes such as cutinase, pisatin, demethylase and HC-toxins (NIAID 1993).

Today, several hundred species of fungi colonise more than 100,000 different plant species. This type of cohabitation relies on and requires symbiotic signalling (Lammers 2004), e.g. the initiation of filamentous growth of fungi through plant hormones (Prusty et al. 2004).

Roots of plants provide better conditions for mycorrhizal fungi, which in turn supply plants with better nutrients (Brundrett 2002). For the fungus, such a relationship is either balanced or predatory. Endophytic fungi, however, live in plants without triggering symptoms of disease (Brundrett 2002). Today, scientists consider the origin of the plant cell to be the result of the terrestrial activity of mycorrhiza, i.e. settlement on land was a co-evolutionary event that is comparable to that between flowering plants and insects (Villarreal 2005). The mutually beneficial relationship between subterranean fungi and plant roots is a fine-tuned network of sign-mediated interactions developed over millions of years (Gross 2006), whereby fungi excrete digestive enzymes into the surrounding soil, and convert nutrients into aqueous solutions that in turn can be readily absorbed by the plant. A staggering 80% of all terrestrial plants rely on the activity of mycorrhiza, especially trees (Schwarze et al. 2004).

Fungi that affect animals are usually dependent on the host's body temperature, i.e. host colonisation by the fungi is only possible if the body temperature is sufficiently high. *Aspergillus fumigatus* in particular colonises animal hosts if they are under thermal stress (Bhabhra et al. 2006). Although fungal disease is common in birds, the relative resistance of endothermic vertebrates to fungal diseases may be a result of immune responses connected with higher body temperature (Casadevall 2006).

A typical fungus cultivated in research laboratories is *Neurospora*. Several subspecies of this genus contain toxins that are pathogenic for animals and plants. However, they are essential components for the large-scale industrial production of antibiotics, chemicals, enzymes and pharmaceuticals (Dunlap et al. 2004).

One of the most striking trans-organismic communication processes between fungi and non-fungal species can be found in lichens. Lichens are polyphyletic. They have been derived many times independently from different kinds of ascomycetes, so their nature of symbiosis doubtless varies (Raven 2001). In lichens, the algae provide the fungi with photosynthate, while the fungi provide the algae with nutrients. Lichens constitute one of the oldest known fungal members and are capable of resisting quite adverse environmental conditions. The symbiosis between fungi and algae or fungi and bacteria results in a mutual supply of nutrients and their associated competences. Through quorum sensing, the fungi benefit from the bacterial association (Hogan 2006). In turn, the bacteria utilise dissolved fungal metabolites to satisfy their nutritional requirements.

A similar co-dependence is observed with algae as symbiosis partners. But there is also a great variety of other sign-mediated interactions between fungi and bacteria that are beneficial for both and are based on reciprocal signalling (McAlester et al. 2008). Trans-organismic communication can be found in any interaction that involves fungi and viruses, bacteria, protoctista (algae), animals (insects) and plants.

5) BIOCOMMUNICATION AMONG FUNGAL SPECIES

Since there are both single and multi-cellular species, the communication processes between the same species and related fungal species cannot be distinguished unambiguously from intercellular communication (intra-organismic). Thus communication processes in the mono-cellular yeast (Banuett 1998), which resemble that of amoeba like *Dictyostelium*, must be considered as inter-organismic communication, whereas those within multi-cellular fungal species are truly intercellular. To verify whether cell-to-cell communication is inter- or intra-organismic, we have to consider intercellular processes on a case-by-case basis.

Herein we find another fundamental characteristic of biota, concerning the competence for identifying "self" and "non-self". This competence was successfully demonstrated in *Neurospora crassa* (Glass et al. 2000, Glass and Saupé 2002, Glass and Kaneko 2003). It is obvious that this capacity to distinguish between oneself and others is vital for fungi, since the encounter of mycelia from the same species results in the merger of

their fungal hyphae. However, such dikaryotic mycelia can also result from the merger of different fungal species.

While peripheral hyphae tend to avoid merging with hyphae of other species, the opposite is the case with those at the centre of the mycelium (Glass et al. 2000, Glass and Saupe 2002, Glass and Kaneko 2003). If one assigns mycelia the role of a wrapper within which the fungus is enveloped, so to speak, into a fluid-like continuum, then the nuclei of compatible but different species are “flowing” through the same mycelium. The overall result is an organism, which houses nuclei of different genetic origin in its cytoplasm (Wu and Glass 2001). However, if specific genetic sequences are incompatible, then repulsion sets in, forcing the approaching hyphae into an immune-like response, much like those found in plants.

Resource competition in fungi occurs directly, indirectly and via mechanical interaction. Indirect competition involves absorption of all available resources within the reach of the mycelium, thereby starving potential competitors by maintaining a nutritional deficiency gradient. Direct interaction on the other hand, involves secondary metabolites, which suppress growth or even induce death of the competing fungi. The antibiotics employed in such cases can be either volatile or non-volatile. Mechanical interaction simply requires overgrowth of one fungal species by the other, in which the overgrowing species exerts its lytic action on the other. In some cases lysis is induced via antibiotic agents (Dix and Webster 1995, Griffin 1994).

Like bacteria, single-celled fungi also use quorum sensing to regulate and affect biofilm formation and pathogenesis (Reynolds and Fink 2001). This is mediated by small molecules that accumulate in the extra-cellular environment. If these reach a sufficiently high concentration a response regulator is activated within the local population of cells, leading to the coordination of gene expression (Hogan 2006).

In parasitic interactions between fungal organisms cytoplasmic fusion was found during infection processes, which indicated genetic transfer in the host-parasite relationship. The recognition pattern in this predator-prey relationship is mediated by trisporoids, which are also involved in a non-parasitic behavioural pattern, being responsible for sexual communication (Schultze et al. 2005).

Some fungi have drawn attention only as saprobes, ectomycorrhizal symbionts or parasites of plants, while their role as parasites of other fungi has not yet been mentioned e.g. basidiomycetes. Their role in a great variety of interactions is still being studied (Bauer and Oberwinkler 2008).

6) BIOCOMMUNICATION WITHIN FUNGAL ORGANISMS

The countless variety of fungal organisms represents a major challenge when establishing a homogeneous designation of the signalling processes employed. Research activities so far have predominantly focused on those fungal species that pose a serious threat to agriculture, are pathogenic to humans or possess antibacterial properties. Species of this kind are relatively well investigated, whereas species with obviously insignificant properties are little known.

Growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, for example, is entirely dependent on the final purpose of growth, i.e. its growth and behavioural patterns are correlated. Thus mating of haploid fungal cells is a completely different behavioural cycle than filamentous growth of diploid cells. The signals involved can be differentiated by four different signal-related production patterns (Lengeler et al. 2000).

There is new evidence that certain proteins that have been restricted to signalling pathways in animals so far are also common in fungal signalling pathways (Herranz et al. 2005). In addition, apoptotic processes, which have been considered to play important roles in

the development of multicellular organisms of animals and plants, have now been found to be important for regulating development, growth and aging processes in single-celled fungi (Hamann et al. 2008).

6.1. Intercellular communication

Hyphal growth is a totally different behavioural pattern of conduct than normal cell growth: such cells change shape, become elongated, and re-orientate themselves into specific directions to physically come into contact or even merge with each other, only to colonise a potential growth resource.

Fungal hyphae simultaneously extend in a given direction only once nutritional resources are ideally distributed. However, this is a rare event. Usually the fungus propagates in the direction that is enriched with organic matter (carbon and its derivatives) just to halt growth once little or no resources are available; here the fungus coordinates its growth by establishing certain priorities. In order to do so, the fungus employs intercellular signals that enable it to comprehend the overall state of the organism. This includes an integrated ability to discriminate between self and non-self. Once the fungus encounters a resource-depleted substrate or even poisonous compounds, it responds by halting its growth cycle or by propagating in another direction. The protein signals involved in such processes are quite complex: the apex of hyphae houses specialised receptors that are able to respond to any environmental condition. Any carbon-enriched substrate causes these receptors to become active, which in turn results in the production and release of protein signals into the hyphal cytosol where the corresponding signalling cascade is triggered. In turn, the mycelium responds with the mobilisation and translocation of resources into the activated area. In the absence of carbon-rich substrates, or at increasingly acidic pH levels, the hyphae respond by activating yet other receptors that slow down growth and eventually cause the organism to withdraw resources from the affected area. Since septa within the hyphae are perforated, they perform similar functions as the gap junctions in higher animals, micro-plasmodesmata in cyanobacteria and plasmodesmata in higher plants (Belozerskaya 1998, Gessler et al. 2007).

6.2. Intracellular communication

Unfortunately, our understanding of fungal communication processes for the assembly and merger of cell walls, capsules, and cellular surface-receptors is incomplete. Little is known about the secreted signalling molecules that penetrate the cell walls. Even the phenomenon of endocytotic absorption of dissolved organic matter into the fungal cell is not really understood (NIAID 1993).

Yet there has been some progress in this field. By investigating a great number of signal transduction events from the outside through the cell membrane into the cytoplasm it has been possible to decode some important intracellular communication processes. Thus, it was found that signal processes coordinate cell polarity, mating, pheromone control and cellular morphology. Some of these processes even adjust the cell cycle, cause polarised growth activity and modify the transcription profiles of fungal cells (Lengeler et al. 2000, Bardwell 2004, Fernandes et al. 2005).

By examining the fungal pathogen *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* it was realised that some signalling pathways are identical to those of other species such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* (Fernandes et al. 2005).

The "protein cascades" that characterise production pathways of appropriate chemicals and messenger signals reflect the behavioural contexts, which are to some extent completely different (Fernandes et al. 2005):

- Cell integrity, cell wall construction, pheromone/mating, and osmo-regulation by mitogen-activated protein kinase signalling (MAPK)
- Fungal development and virulence by the cAMP/PKA system
- Cross-talk between cascades by the RAS protein
- Cell survival under oxidative stress, high temperature, membrane/cell wall perturbation by calcium-calmodulin-calcineurin
- Control of cell growth and proliferation by rapamycin

Combinatorial communication procedures such as those involving the MAPK and cAMP pathways are also part of the behavioural contexts (Lengeler 2000). These in turn serve to multiply the semantic contents of the encoded messages.

Several signalling pathways have been found that sense extracellular stimuli and convert them into intracellular signals that regulate developmental and growth processes. The guanine nucleotide-binding protein (G-Protein) is essential for extracellular detection of nutrients and sexual partners (Dohlman 2002, Kays and Borkovich 2004, Hoffman 2005). The TOR protein kinases, which are bound and inhibited by rapamycin, function as nutrient-sensing signals and regulate cellular responses like proliferation, transcription, translation, autophagy and ribosome biogenesis (Beck and Hall 1999, Cutler et al. 2001).

As with any signal-mediated interaction that can be achieved with molecules, the same components are employed for differing behavioural contexts and in varying messages. That is, different modes of behaviour can be achieved by syntactically identical signalling. The signalling pathways use identical proteins to coordinate different response patterns. Even if they are syntactically identical they semantically contain a completely different meaning: for example, activated cAMP triggers filamentous growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, regulates positive virulence in *Cryptococcus neoformans*, suppresses mating in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and inhibits filamentous growth in *Ustilago maydis* (Lengeler et al. 2000, D'Souza and Heitman 2001) or activates protein kinase for directly or indirectly induced developmental changes in *Magnaporthe grisea* during infection of rice (Mitchel and Dean 1995). Another example is the *Ustilago maydis* pheromone response, which regulates both cell fusion and the pathogenicity programme for plant infection (Krüger et al. 1998, Hartmann et al. 1996, 1999).

These examples show that different behavioural contexts determine different meanings of identical signalling molecules. In such cases, biosemiotically identical signals can induce opposite responses in different organisms. It is interesting to note that fungi are not just capable of differentiating among varying messages and responding appropriately, but moreover are able to differentiate among molecules that are chemically identical to signalling molecules and obviously contain no relevant meaning („noise“), i.e. are not parts of biotic messages.

Recent genome comparisons have provided new insights into the evolutionary aspects of fungi. The hypothesis that evolution happened by whole genome duplication events followed by selective gene-loss and stabilisation is strengthened by analysis on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Kellis et al. 2004). Interestingly the signal-to-noise ratio in yeasts is approximately 70% protein coding regions and 15 % regulatory elements in the non-protein coding regions, in comparison to humans with ratios of 3% and 97 % respectively (Kellis et al. 2003).

6.3. Unique relationship between fungi and viruses

Fungal populations are commonly colonised by persistent double-stranded RNA viruses, single-stranded RNA viruses, double-stranded DNA viruses, retroviruses and even prions. They are the simplest organisms to be colonised by retroviruses, in the form of fungal retroposons. In contrast to all other eukaryotes, fungal mitochondria are infected by either

double-stranded RNA or DNA viruses. Most fungus-infecting viruses are not pathogenic although they are associated with toxin genes that are pathologically dangerous, not for the infected host, but for non-infected fungal relatives and non-fungal organisms. This phenomenon has been used quite successfully by brewing industries, to protect useful yeasts from exogenous yeast genetic parasites by colonising the industrial yeast colonies with protective versions of killer viruses (Villarreal 2005). An example for mutually beneficial symbiosis is that of the black aspergillus species and dsRNA viruses, as in the case of mycovirus (van Diepeningen et al. 2006).

The interconnected filamentous fungi are a very attractive habitat for viruses because they allow rapid motility through the whole cellular network. Fungal networks also allow replacement of nuclei that are left behind in mitochondria. This may be an advantage if mitochondria are colonised by parasites, which is common (Villarreal 2005). It has been suggested that non-pathogenic viral colonisation of fungal mitochondria protects mtDNA from age-dependent degradation and is beneficial for the longevity of the fungal host. As a consequence, the growth radius of the fungal mats may increase up to 10-fold (Villarreal 2005).

Interestingly, higher fungi lack repeat elements in DNA, whilst lower fungi can have up to 50 % of their genomes colonised by repeat elements. Recent research shows that these repeat elements represent remnants of early viral genomic colonisation events. Fungi were the first organisms to be colonised by viral RNA. This assumption is underlined by the presence of SINEs and LINEs. So far up to 15 SINE-families have been recognised (Whisson et al. 2005), a fact that supports the assumption that very early viral colonisation of the fungal genome must have taken place (Villarreal 2005, Rooney and Ward 2005).

Although plants and animals are descendants of fungi, the relationship between fungi and viruses is different from virus-animal or virus-plant relationships (Villarreal 2005). The latter two lack linear plasmids, killer phenotypes, mitochondrial infections, distorted senescence and ubiquitous double-stranded RNA colonisation, which are all characteristics of the fungal virus-host relationship. This may be an indication of co-evolutionary interactions between fungi and viruses (Villarreal 2005).

Genomic studies show that most methylated regions of *Neurospora crassa* are derived from repeat-induced point mutations (RIP), which comprise a pre-meiotic homology-based genome defense system. This early immune system consists of a variety of inactivated transposons that include DNA modifications and chromatin modifications (Selker et al. 2003, Galagan and Selker 2004). As shown by comparative genomics, such inactivated transposons descended from viral infection events that reached a persistent status, providing the host with a new phenotype of an innate immune system in order to prevent similar infections (Villarreal 2005).

In yeast species this transposon is derived from an endogenous retrovirus, i.e. the characteristic Ty1 element, which encodes a functional reverse transcriptase, and a GAG gene, which encodes structural proteins. It is inserted into the silent regions of chromatin, which means that this is a good example of a beneficial situation for both the colonising virus and the host. Interestingly, yeasts also persistently harbour killer viruses that are lethal to related yeast species that are not infected persistently. Generally it is assumed that the high rate of different toxins in the organismic kingdom of fungi represents such toxin/antitoxin modules that are persistently integrated into the fungal host genomes (Villarreal 2008).

Fungal genomes are not highly populated by genetic settlers in comparison to animal or plant genomes. Only one family of endogenous retroviruses is present in several full-length copies, some of them completely deactivated in specific fungal lineages. These chromovirus sequences sometimes match with fungal host sequences. In Ascomycetes and Basidiomycetes these sequences are distinct but inactivated and not transcribed, which could be an indicator of several massive viral colonisation events during which the previously integrated viruses

were displaced. Interestingly, a small number of viral copies are highly conserved and transcribed, so we can assume that they are closely connected with fungal evolution (Villarreal 2008). The high infection rate by dsRNA viruses and endogenous retroviruses is coherent with the presence of a small interfering RNA system with the ability for post-transcriptional silencing. This new system for identifying RNA and repeated DNA sequences by double-stranded RNA sequences is able to silence RNA expression, its main purpose being to silence endogenous retroviruses (chromovirus) and retroposons (Villarreal 2008).

Interestingly, the mitochondria of fungi are highly colonised by persistent double-stranded RNA viruses, each of them from another lineage but present in the host genome as multiple interconnected settlers. Especially the oxidative competence of mitochondrial respiration which is essential for life span of fungal host share some antagonist which regulates its function. If regulation of this process is disturbed, the life span of the host can be interrupted abruptly (Villarreal 2008).

Generally the unique relationship between fungi and viruses seems to be an indicator of the virus-driven evolutionary history of fungi in that the virus-host relationship, especially in the endogenous persistent status (represented by dsRNA and small linear dsDNA viruses), is linked to all immunity mechanisms in fungi, such as killer toxins in mitochondria or siRNA, i.e. dsRNA-based identification competence, with an important role in silencing endogenous retroviruses and limiting retroviral infections (Villarreal 2008). This would be coherent with the fact that most fungal genomes are small in comparison to other eukaryotic genomes that lack the ability to ward off RNA viruses and retroviruses.

7) SUMMARY FROM A BIOSEMIOTIC PERSPECTIVE

An overview of all significant levels of fungal communication shows that identification of signal-mediated processes in signalling pathways are context dependent - both within and among fungal cells as well as between fungi and other organisms.

Such dependence is prevalent in both (beneficial or parasitic) colonisation and defense responses. Depending on the context, molecular components are integrated into unique signalling pathways where they attain the corresponding meanings. Such meanings are subject to change, i.e. they rely on various behavioural contexts, which differ under altered conditions. These contexts concern cell adhesion, pheromone response, calcium/calmodulin, cell integrity, osmotic growth, stress response or cell growth through rapamycin. The interactional context determines the semantic relationship, i.e., its meaning and the function of the chemical components, and forms a signal-mediated communication pattern in fungi. This is a common feature in all eukaryotic kingdoms: the context determines the meaning of trans-, inter- and intra-organismic (inter- and intracellular) communication, while differences in abiotic and biotic signal perception determine the content arrangement of response behaviour (Witzany 2006b).

After recognising how versatile fungal communication competences really are we can see that one main principle is followed throughout all these signalling processes: fungal organisms coordinate all their behavioural patterns with a core set of chemical molecules. The interactional context and the different modes of coordinating appropriate response behaviour in e.g. development, growth, mating, attack, defense, virulence, etc. determines the combinations of signals that generate the appropriate meaning-function, i.e. informational content of messages. These generating processes normally function in a very conservative way but under certain circumstances may fail, or selective pressure may lead to changes that can be a driving force in fungal evolution.

Additionally it can be recognised that the persistent lifestyle of viruses is a driving force in fungal evolution in that they are the main resource for immunity, group identity and a large number of important secondary metabolites.

References

- Adachi, K., and Hamer, J.E., 1998. Divergent cAMP signaling pathways regulate growth and pathogenesis in the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Plant Cell* 10:1361-1374.
- Alspaugh, J.A., Cavallo, L.M., Perfect, J.R., Heitman, J., 2000. Ras1 regulates filamentation, mating and growth at high temperature of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mol. Microbiol* 36:352-365.
- Banuett, F. 1998. Signalling in the yeasts: an informational cascade with links to the filamentous fungi. *Microbiol.Mol.Biol.rev.* 62:249-274.
- Bardwell I. 2004. A walk-through of the yeast mating pheromone response pathway. *Peptides* 25:1465-76.
- Bauer R, Oberwinkler F. 2008. Cellular Basidiomycete – Fungus Interactions. In: A.Varma, L.Abbott, d. Werner, R. Hampp (Eds). *Plant Surface Microbiology*. Berlin/Heidelberg, Springer, 267-279.
- Beck T, Hall MN 1999. The TOR signalling pathway controls nuclear localization of nutrient-regulated transcription factors. *Nature* 402:689-92.
- Bell-Pederson, D., Dunlap, J.C., Iorio, J.J. 1996. Distinct cis-acting elements mediate clock, light, and developmental regulation of the *Neurospora crassa* *ccg2* gene. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16:513-521.
- Belozerskaya, T.A., 1998. Cell-to-cell Communication in differentiation of mycelial fungi. *Mem. Cell Biol.* 11(6), R831-R840.
- Bhabhra, R., Zhao, W., Rhodes, J.C., Askew, D.S., 2006. Nucleolar localization of *Aspergillus fumigatus* CgrA is temperature-dependent. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 43(1), R1-R64.
- Borges-Walmsley, M.I, Walmsley A.R., 2000. cAMP signalling in pathogenic fungi: control of dimorphic switching and pathogenicity. *Trends microbiol.* 8:133-141.
- Brundrett, M.C., 2002. Coevolution of roots and mycorrhizas of land plants. *New Phytol.* 154, R275-R304.
- Casadevall, A., 2006. Fungal virulence, vertebrate endothermy, and dinosaur extinction: is there a connection? *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 42(2), R98-R106.
- Cornelius M.L.; Bland J.M.; Daigle D.J.; Williams K.S.; Lovisa M.P.; Connick W.J.; Lax A.R. 2004. Effect of a Lignin-Degrading Fungus on Feeding Preferences of Formosan Subterranean Termite (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) for Different Commercial Lumber. *Journal of Economic Entomology* <http://content.esa/jeesessionid=2rijd721jtejv.alice> , 97(3): 1025-1035.

Cutler NS, Pan X, Heitman J, Cardenas MA., 2001. The TOR Signal Transduction Cascade controls cellular differentiation in response to nutrients. *Mol Biol Cell* 12:4103-13.

Dix, N.J., Webster, J., 1995. *Fungal Ecology*. Chapman & Hall. London.

Dohlman HG. 2002. G proteins and pheromone signalling. *Annu rev Physiol* 64:129-52.

D'Souza, C.A., Heitman, J., 2001. Conserved cAMP signaling cascades regulate fungal development and virulence. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 25(3), R349-R364.

Dunlap, J.C., Loros, J.J., Denault, D., Lee, K., Froelich, A., Colot, H., Shi, M., Pogue, A., 2004. Genetics and molecular biology of circadian rhythms, in: Brambl, R., Marzluf G.A. (Eds.), *The Mycota III. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, pp. 209-229.

Fernandes, L., Araujo, M.A.M., Amaral, A., Reis, V.C.B., Martins, N.F., Felipe, M.S., 2005. Cell signaling pathways in *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* – inferred from comparison with other fungi. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 4(2), R216-R231.

FGSC- Fungal Genetics Stock Center, 2008. The *Neurospora* Homepage. <http://www.fgsc.net/Neurospora/neurospora.html>.

Galagan JE, Selker EU. (2004) RIP: the evolutionary cost of genome defense. *Trends Genet.* 20:417-423.

Gessler NN, Aver'yanov AA, Belozerskaya TA. 2007. Reactive oxygen species in regulation of fungal development. *Biochemistry (Mosc)*, 72: 1091-1109.

Glass, N.L., Jacobson, D.J., Shiu, P.K.T., 2000. The Genetics of Hyphal Fusion and Vegetative Incompatibility in filamentous ascomycete Fungi. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 34, R165-R186.

Glass, N.L., Saupe, S.J., 2002. Vegetative incompatibility in filamentous ascomycetes, in: Osiewacz, H.D. (Ed.) *Molecular Biology of Fungal Development*. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 109-131.

Glass, N.L., Kaneko, I., 2003. Fatal Attraction: Nonspecific Recognition and Heterokaryon Incompatibility in Filamentous Fungi. *Eukaryot. Cell* 2(1), R1-R8.

Griffin, D.H., 1994. *Fungal Physiology*. Second Edition, Wiley-Liss, New York.

Gross, L. 2006. A Chemical Facilitator of Plant-Fungus Communication. *PLoS Biology* 4: e238-e242.

Hamann A, Brust D, Osiewacz HD. 2008. Apoptosis pathways in fungal growth, development and aging. *Trends Microbiol*: doi:10.1016/j.tim.2008.03.003

Hartmann HA, Kahmann R, Bölker M. 1996. the pheromone response factor coordinates filamentous growth and pathogenicity in *Ustilago maydis*. *EMBO J* 15(7):1632-41.

Hartmann, H.A., Krüger, J., Lottspeich, F., Kahmann, R., 1999 . Environmental Signals Controlling sexual development of the Corn Smut Fungus *Ustilago maydis* through the transcriptional Regulator Prf1. *Plant Cell* 11, R1293-R1305.

Hemenway, C.S., and Heitman, j. 1999. Calcineurin: structure, function, and inhibition. *Cell Biochem. Biophysics* 30:115-151.

Herranz S, Rodriguez JM, Bussink HJ, Sanchez-Ferrero JC, Arst jr. HN, Penalva MA, Vincent O. (2005). Arrestin-related proteins mediate pH signalling in fungi. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 102(34):12141-6.

Hoffman CS. 2005. Except in every detail: comparing and Contrasting G-Protein Signaling in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Eukaryot Cell* 4:495-503.

Hogan, D.A., 2006. Talking to Themselves: Autoregulation and Quorum Sensing in Fungi. *Eukaryot. Cell* 584, R613-R619.

Humber RA. 2008. Evolution of entomopathogenicity in fungi. *J Invertebr Pathol*: doi:10.1016/j.jip.2008.02.017

Ingold, C.T., Hudson, H.J., 1993. *The Biology of Fungi*. Chapman & Hall, London.

Jakupovic, M., Heintz, M., Reichmann, P., Mendgen, K., Hahn, M., 2006. Microarray analysis of expressed sequence tags from haustoria of the rust fungus *Uromyces fabae*. *Fung. Gen. Biol.* 43, R8-R-19.

Jennings, D.H., 1995. *Physiology of Fungal Nutrition*. Cambridge University Press, New York.

Kays AM , Borkovich KA. 2004. Signal transduction pathways mediated by heterotrimeric G proteins. In R.Brambl and GA Marzluf (Eds.) *The mycota III*. 175-207. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany

Kellis M, Patterson N, Endrizzi M, Birren B, Lander ES. 2003. Sequencing and comparison of yeast species to identify genes and regulatory elements. *Nature* 423:241-54.

Kellis M, Birren BW, Lander ES. 2004. Proof and evolutionary analysis of ancient genome duplication in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature* 428:617-24.

Kopper, B.J., Klepzig, K.D., Raffa, K.F., 2004. Components of Antagonism and Mutualism in *Ips pini* – Fungal interactions: relationship to a Life History of colonizing Highly Stressed and Dead Trees. *Environ. Entomol.* 33(1), R28-R34.

Krüger, J., Loubradou G, Regenfelder E., Hartmann A, Kahmann R. 1998. Crosstalk between cAMP and pheromone signalling pathways in *Ustilago Maydis*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 260:193-198.

Lammers, P.J., 2004. Symbiotic signaling: new functions for familiar proteins. *New Phytol.* 161, R324-R326.

Lengeler, K.B., Davidson, R.C., D'Souza, C., Harashima, T., Shen, W.C., Wang, P., Pan, X., Waugh, M., Heitman, J., 2000. Signal Transduction cascades regulating Fungal Development and Virulence. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 64(4), R746-R785.

Levina, N.N., Lew, R.R., 2006. The role of TIPP-localized mitochondria in hyphal growth. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 43(2), R65-R134.

Lott, T.J., Fundyga, R.E., Kuykendall, R.J., Arnold, J., 2006. The human commensal yeast, *Candida albicans*, has an ancient origin. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 42(5), R444-R451.

Margulis, L., Schwartz, K.V., 1988. *Five Kingdoms*. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York

McAlester G, O'Gara F, Morrissey JP. 2008. Signal-mediated interactions between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Candida albicans*. *J Med Microbiol* 57: 563-569.

Mitchell, T.K., Dean, R.A., 1995. The cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit is required for appressorium formation and pathogenesis by the rice blast pathogen *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Plant Cell*. 7(11), R1869–R1878

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), 1993. Molecular Medical Mycology SECRETION. Proceedings of the NIAID Workshop in Medical Mycology. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, June 24-26, 1993. <http://www.niaid.nih.gov/dmid/meetings/mycology/secretion.htm>

Poulsen M, Boomsma JJ, 2005. Mutualistic Fungi Control Crop Diversity in Fungus-Growing Ants. *Science* 307:741-744.

Prusty, R., Grisafi P., Fink, G.R., 2004. The plant hormone indoleacetic acid induces invasive growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PNAS* 101(12), R4153-R4157.

Raven, J.A., 2001. Selection pressures on stomatal evolution. *New Phytol.* 153, R371-R386.

Reynolds TB, Fink GR. 2001. Bakers' yeast, a model for fungal biofilm formation. *Science* 291(5505): 806-7.

Rooney, A.P., Ward, T.J., 2005. Evolution of a large ribosomal RNA multigene family in filamentous fungi: Birth and death of a concerted evolution paradigm. *PNAS* 102(14), R5084-R5089.

Schultze K, Schimek C, Wostemeyer J, Burmester A. 2005. Sexuality and parasitism share common regulatory pathways in the fungus *Parasitella parasitica*. *Gene* 348:33-44.

Schwarze, F.W.M.R., Engels, J., Mattheck, C., 2004. *Fungal Strategies of Wood Decay in Trees*. Second Edition, Springer, Heidelberg.

Selker EU, Tountas NA, Cross SH, Margolin BS, Murphy JG, Bird AP, Freitag, M. 2003. The methylated component of the *Neurospora crassa* genome. *Nature* 422:893-897.

Sullivan, B.T., Berisford, C.W., 2004. Semiochemicals From Fungal Associates of Bark Beetles May Mediate Host Location Behavior of Parasitoids. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 30(4), R703-R717.

Tan, Y., Merrow, M., Roenneberg, T., 2004. Photoperiodism in *Neurospora Crassa*. *J. Biol. Rhythms*, 19(2), R135-R143.

Van Diepeningen AD, Debets AJM, Hoekstra RF. 2006. Dynamics of dsRNA mycoviruses in black aspergillus populations. *Fung.Gen.Biol.*doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2006.01.014.

Villarreal, L.P., 2005. *Viruses and the Evolution of Life*. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.

Villarreal L. Origin of Group Identity. *Viruses, Addiction and Cooperation*. Springer 2008.

Wang, P. Heitman J 1999. Signal transduction cascades regulating mating, filamentation and virulence in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2:358-362.

Weld, R.J., Plummer, K.M., Carpenter, M.A., Ridgway, H.J., 2006. Approaches to functional genomics in filamentous fungi. *Cell Res.* 16, R31-R44.

Whisson SC, Avrova AO, Lavrova O, Pritchard L. 2005. Families of short interspersed elements in the genome of the oomycete plant pathogen, *Phytophthora infestans*. *Fungal Genet Biol* 42(4): 351-65.

Witzany, G., 2006 a. The Logos of the Bios 1. Contributions to a three-leveled biosemiotics. Umweb, Helsinki.

Witzany, G., 2006 b. Plant Communication from biosemiotic perspective. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 1(4):169-178.

Wu, J., Glass, N.L., 2001. Identification of specificity determinants and generation of alleles with novel specificity at the het-c heterokaryon incompatibility locus of *Neurospora crassa*. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 21(4), R1045-R1057.